

ON THE BOGOMOLOV-MIYAOKA-YAU INEQUALITY FOR DELIGNE-MUMFORD SURFACES

JIUN-CHENG CHEN AND HSIAN-HUA TSENG

ABSTRACT. We discuss a generalization of the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality to Deligne-Mumford surfaces of general type.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a smooth complex projective surface S of general type, the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality for S reads

$$(1.1) \quad 3c_2(T_S) \geq c_1(T_S)^2.$$

Together with Noether's inequality, this puts constraints on the topology of surfaces of general types. Generalizations of (1.1) to singular surfaces and surface pairs have been found, see for example [7], [4, 5]. In this paper we discuss a generalization of (1.1) to Deligne-Mumford stacks.

We work over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth proper Deligne-Mumford $\mathbb{C}$ -stack of dimension 2. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ be the natural map to the coarse moduli space. We assume that X is a projective variety. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is assumed to be smooth, it has a tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{X}}$. A good theory of Chern classes is available for Deligne-Mumford stacks, see for example [10], [3]. We propose the following

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be as above. Assume that the canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}} := \wedge^2 T_{\mathcal{X}}^{\vee}$ is numerically effective, then*

$$(1.2) \quad 3c_2(T_{\mathcal{X}}) \geq c_1(T_{\mathcal{X}})^2.$$

Certainly (1.2) takes the same shape as (1.1). In what follows we give evidence for (1.2). In Section 2.1 we discuss (1.2) for stacks $\mathcal{X}$ which non-trivial stack structures at generic points. In Section 2.2 we prove (1.2) for a class of stacks $\mathcal{X}$ with stack structures in codimension 1. In Section 2.3 we prove (1.2) for Gorenstein stacks $\mathcal{X}$ with isolated stack points.

Acknowledgment. J.-C. C is a Golden-Jade Fellow of Kenda Foundation, Taiwan. He is supported in part by National Science Council and National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taiwan. H.-H. T is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1047777.

2. EVIDENCE OF (1.2)

2.1. Codimension 0 stack structure. We examine (1.2) for stacks $\mathcal{X}$ with non-trivial stack structures at generic points. In this case, $\mathcal{X}$ is an étale gerbe over a stack with trivial generic stack structure, see for example [2, Proposition 4.6]. More precisely, there is a finite group G , a stack

$\mathcal{X}'$ with trivial generic stabilizers, and a morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ realizing $\mathcal{X}$ as a G -gerbe over $\mathcal{X}'$. Since $T_{\mathcal{X}} = f^*T_{\mathcal{X}'}$, we see that (1.2) for $\mathcal{X}$ is equivalent to (1.2) for $\mathcal{X}'$. Therefore it suffices to consider only those $\mathcal{X}$ with stack structures in codimension ≥ 1 .

2.2. Codimension 1 stack structure. We will verify (1.2) for an example of stack $\mathcal{X}$ with stack structures in codimension 1.

Let X be a smooth complex projective surface and D a simple normal crossing $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor of the form $D = \sum_i (1 - 1/r_i)D_i$ with $r_i \geq 2$ integers. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the natural stack cover of the pair (X, D) . By construction the coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{X}$ is X . The natural map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism outside $\pi^{-1}(\text{Supp } D)$, which is where $\mathcal{X}$ has non-trivial stack structures. Furthermore we have the following formula for the canonical bundle:

$$(2.1) \quad K_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi^*(K_X + D).$$

We now examine (1.2) for this $\mathcal{X}$. By (2.1),

$$c_1(T_{\mathcal{X}})^2 = c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}})^2 = (K_X + D)^2.$$

By Gauss-Bonnet theorem for Deligne-Mumford stacks [8, Corollaire 3.44] we have

$$c_2(T_{\mathcal{X}}) = \chi(\mathcal{X}),$$

the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{X}$ as defined in [8, Definition 3.43] (note that the notation χ^{orb} is used in [8]). Put

$$\mathcal{D}_i := \pi^{-1}(D_i), \quad \mathcal{D}_i^\circ := \mathcal{D}_i \setminus (\cup_{j \neq i} (\mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j)).$$

Then we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{X} \setminus \pi^{-1}(\text{Supp } D)) = \chi(\mathcal{X}) - \sum_i \chi(\mathcal{D}_i^\circ) - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j} \chi(p).$$

Similarly, put $D_i^\circ = D_i \setminus (\cup_{j \neq i} (D_i \cap D_j))$, we have

$$\chi(X \setminus \text{Supp } D) = \chi(X) - \sum_i \chi(D_i^\circ) - \sum_{\bar{p} \in D_i \cap D_j} \chi(\bar{p}).$$

Since $\mathcal{X} \setminus \pi^{-1}(\text{Supp } D) \simeq X \setminus \text{Supp } D$, we have $\chi(\mathcal{X} \setminus \pi^{-1}(\text{Supp } D)) = \chi(X \setminus \text{Supp } D)$. Equivalently,

$$\chi(\mathcal{X}) = \chi(X) - \sum_i \chi(D_i^\circ) - \sum_{\bar{p} \in D_i \cap D_j} \chi(\bar{p}) + \sum_i \chi(\mathcal{D}_i^\circ) + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j} \chi(p).$$

Since the map $\mathcal{D}_i^\circ \rightarrow D_i^\circ$ is of degree $1/r_i$ and the map $\mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j \rightarrow D_i \cap D_j$ is of degree $1/r_i r_j$, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{D}_i) = \frac{1}{r_i} \chi(D_i), \quad \chi(\mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j) = \frac{1}{r_i r_j} \chi(D_i \cap D_j).$$

This implies that

$$(2.2) \quad \chi(\mathcal{X}) = \chi(X) - \sum_i (1 - 1/r_i) \chi(D_i^\circ) + \sum_{\bar{p} \in D_i \cap D_j} (1/r_i r_j - 1).$$

By [5, Theorem 8.7], for $\bar{p} \in D_i \cap D_j$ the local orbifold Euler number of the pair (X, D) at $\bar{p}$ is given by $e_{orb}(\bar{p}; X, D) = 1/r_i r_j$. Together with (2.2) this implies that $\chi(\mathcal{X})$ coincides with the orbifold Euler number $e_{orb}(X, D)$ of the pair (X, D) , as defined in [5]. Thus if $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is numerically effective, the (1.2) hold because it is equivalent to [5, Theorem 0.1] applied to the pair (X, D) .

2.3. Condimension 2 stack structure. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth proper Deligne-Mumford $\mathbb{C}$ -stack of dimension 2 with isolated stack structures. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ is Gorenstein. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ be the natural map to the coarse moduli space X , which we assume to be a projective surface with canonical singularities. Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{X}$ be the stacky points. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is Gorenstein, each p_i has a neighborhood $p_i \in U_i \subset \mathcal{X}$ of the form $U_i \simeq [\mathbb{C}^2/G_i]$ with $G_i \subset SU(2)$ a finite subgroup, identifying p_i with $[0/G_i] \in [\mathbb{C}^2/G_i]$.

Suppose further that $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is numerically effective. We prove that (1.2) holds for such $\mathcal{X}$.

By assumption we have $K_{\mathcal{X}} = \pi^* K_X$. Thus

$$c_1(T_{\mathcal{X}})^2 = c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}})^2 = c_x(K_X)^2.$$

We now consider the term $c_2(T_{\mathcal{X}})$. The first step is to consider $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ by using Riemann-Roch theorem for stacks [8]. We follow [9, Appendix A] for the presentation of the Riemann-Roch theorem. We have

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \tilde{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \tilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

Here $I\mathcal{X}$ is the inertia stack of $\mathcal{X}$. By our assumption on $\mathcal{X}$, we have the following description of $I\mathcal{X}$:

$$I\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k (Ip_i \setminus p_i).$$

Here the term $Ip_i \setminus p_i$ is the inertia stack of $p_i \simeq BG_i$ with the main component removed, namely

$$Ip_i \setminus p_i \simeq \bigcup_{(g) \neq (1): \text{conjugacy class of } G_i} BC_{G_i}(g).$$

By the definition of the Chern character $\tilde{ch}$, we have $\tilde{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = 1$ on every component of $I\mathcal{X}$. Hence

$$(2.3) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \tilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \tilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}})|_{\mathcal{X}} + \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{Ip_i \setminus p_i} \tilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}})|_{Ip_i \setminus p_i}.$$

Note that $\tilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}})|_{\mathcal{X}} = Td(T_{\mathcal{X}})$, and we only need its degree 2 component. Hence

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathcal{X}} \tilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}})|_{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathcal{X}} (c_2(T_{\mathcal{X}}) + c_1(T_{\mathcal{X}})^2).$$

The contribution coming from $Ip_i \setminus p_i$ can be also evaluated.

Lemma 2.4. *Let E_i be the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^2/G_i$. Then*

$$\int_{Ip_i \setminus p_i} \tilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}})|_{Ip_i \setminus p_i} = \frac{1}{12} (\chi(E_i) - \frac{1}{|G_i|}).$$

This Lemma is proved in the Appendix.

Next, we reinterpret the term $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$. By definition, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) := \sum_{l \geq 0} (-1)^l \dim H^l(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$. Since $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$ (see e.g. [1, Theorem 2.2.1]), we have $H^l(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \tilde{H}^l(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and

$$(2.5) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Combining (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), and Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following expression of $c_2(T_{\mathcal{X}})$:

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathcal{X}} c_2(T_{\mathcal{X}}) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - \int_{\mathcal{X}} c_1(T_{\mathcal{X}})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi(E_i) - 1/|G_i|).$$

Using this, we see that in the present situation, (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq \frac{4}{3}c_1(K_X)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi(E_i) - \frac{1}{|G_i|}).$$

On the other hand, it is clear that (2.7) is a special case of [7, Corollary 1.3]. This completes the proof.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 2.4

In this Appendix we prove Lemma 2.4. By our assumption on $\mathcal{X}$, for $g \in G_i$, the g -action on the tangent space $T_{p_i}\mathcal{X}$ has two eigenvalues ξ_g and ξ_g^{-1} , where ξ_g is a certain root of unity. By the definition of $\widetilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}})$ we have

$$(A.1) \quad \int_{I_{p_i} \setminus p_i} \widetilde{Td}(T_{\mathcal{X}})|_{I_{p_i} \setminus p_i} = \sum_{(g) \neq (1): \text{conjugacy class of } G_i} \frac{1}{|C_{G_i}(g)|} \frac{1}{2 - \xi_g - \xi_g^{-1}}.$$

We now evaluate (A.1) using the *ADE* classification of $\mathbb{C}^2/G_i$.

A.1. Type A. If $\mathbb{C}^2/G_i$ is of type A_{n-1} , then $G_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}_n$ and the action on $\mathbb{C}^2$ is given as follows. If we identify $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with the group of n -th roots of 1, then an element $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ via the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that (A.1) is given by

$$(A.2) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}l/n) - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}l/n)^{-1}}.$$

By [6, Lemma 3.3.2.1], (A.2) is equal to

$$\frac{n^2 - 1}{12n} = \frac{1}{12}(n - 1/n).$$

Since the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_n$ is a chain of $(n - 1)$ copies of $\mathbb{CP}^1$, its Euler characteristic is n . This proves the Lemma in type A case.

A.2. Type D. If $\mathbb{C}^2/G_i$ is of type D_{n+2} (here $n \geq 2$), then G_i is isomorphic to the binary dihedral group Dic_n . The group Dic_n is of order $4n$ and may be presented as follows:

$$Dic_n = \langle a, x | a^{2n} = 1, x^2 = a^n, x^{-1}ax = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

The action of Dic_n on $\mathbb{C}^2$ is given as follows:

$$(A.3) \quad a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}/n) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-\pi\sqrt{-1}/n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

An element calculation shows that the conjugacy classes of Dic_n and the orders of their centralizer subgroups are given as follows:

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\{1\}, \quad \{a^n\} \quad (\text{order of centralizer group} = 4n) \\ &\{a^l, a^{-l}\}, 1 \leq l \leq n-1, \quad (\text{order of centralizer group} = 2n) \\ &\{xa, xa^3, xa^5, \dots, xa^{2n-1}\}, \quad \{x, xa^2, xa^4, \dots, xa^{2n-2}\} \quad (\text{order of centralizer group} = 4). \end{aligned}$$

Using (A.3) and (A.4) it is easy to identify the contribution from each conjugacy class. It follows that (A.1) is given by

$$(A.5) \quad \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n) - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n)^{-1}} + \frac{1}{16n} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}.$$

We need to evaluate the sum $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n) - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n)^{-1}}$. Again by [6, Lemma 3.3.2.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2n)^2 - 1}{12} &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}k/(2n)) - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}k/(2n))^{-1}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n) - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n)^{-1}} + \frac{1}{4} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(n+k)/(2n)) - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(n+k)/(2n))^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &2 - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(n+k)/(2n)) - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(n+k)/(2n))^{-1} \\ &= 2 + \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n) + \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n)^{-1} \\ &= 2 + 2\cos(\pi k/n) = 4\cos^2(\pi k/(2n)) = 4\sin^2((\pi(k+n)/(2n))); \\ &2 - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n) - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n)^{-1} \\ &= 2 - 2\cos(\pi k/n) = 4\sin^2(\pi k/(2n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sin(\pi(k+n)/(2n)) = -\sin(\pi(k-n)/(2n))$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n) - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n)^{-1}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(n+k)/(2n)) - \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(n+k)/(2n))^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n) - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n)^{-1}} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{(2n)^2 - 1}{12}.$$

This shows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n) - \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}k/n)^{-1}} = \frac{n^2 - 1}{6}$$

and (A.1) is given by

$$\frac{n^2 - 1}{12n} + \frac{1}{16n} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{12}(n + 3 - \frac{1}{4n}).$$

Since the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^2/Dic_n$ is a tree of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ whose dual graph is the Dynkin diagram D_{n+2} , its Euler characteristic is $n + 3$ and the Lemma is proved in this case.

A.3. Type E. If $\mathbb{C}^2/G_i$ is of type E , then there are three possibilities: E_6, E_7, E_8 . The group G_i is isomorphic to the binary tetrahedral group (for E_6), the binary octahedral group (for E_7), or the binary icosahedral group (for E_8). In each case the group and its action on $\mathbb{C}^2$ can be explicitly described, and the Lemma can be proved by computing (A.1) using this information. We work out the details for E_6 and leave the other two cases to the reader.

In the E_6 case, the group G_i is isomorphic to the binary tetrahedral group $2T$. This group is of order 24 and its elements can be identified with the following quaternion numbers:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm i \pm j \pm k), \quad \pm i, \quad \pm j, \quad \pm k, \quad , \pm 1.$$

The group $2T$ has 7 conjugacy classes:

Conjugacy Class	(1)	(-1)	(i)	($\frac{1}{2}(1 + i + j + k)$)
Size	1	1	6	4
Conjugacy Class	($\frac{1}{2}(1 + i + j - k)$)	($\frac{1}{2}(-1 + i + j + k)$)	($\frac{1}{2}(-1 + i + j - k)$)	
Size	4	4	4	

The action of $2T$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ can be described using the following identification

$$x + yi + zj + wk \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x + yi & z + wi \\ -z + wi & x - yi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now it is straightforward to see that (A.1) is given by

$$\frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{2 - (-2)} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2 - 0} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2 - 1} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2 - 1} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2 - (-1)} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2 - (-1)} = \frac{167}{288} = \frac{1}{12}(7 - \frac{1}{24}).$$

Since 7 is the Euler characteristic of the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{C}^2/2T$, the result follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] D Abramovich, A. Vistoli, *Compactifying the space of stable maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), no. 1, 27–75
- [2] K. Behrend, B. Noohi, *Uniformization of Deligne-Mumford curves*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 599 (2006), 111–153.
- [3] A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 3, 495–536.
- [4] A. Langer, *The Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality for log canonical surfaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 64 (2001), no. 2, 327–343.
- [5] A. Langer *Logarithmic orbifold Euler numbers of surfaces with applications*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 86 (2003), no. 2, 358–396.
- [6] M. Lieblich, *Moduli of twisted orbifold sheaves*, arXiv:0803.3332.

- [7] Y. Miyaoka, *The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants*, Math. Ann. 268 (1984), no. 2, 159–171.
- [8] B. Toen, *K-theory and cohomology of algebraic stacks: Riemann-Roch theorems, D-modules and GAGA theorems*, arXiv:math/9908097.
- [9] H.-H. Tseng, *Orbifold Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre*, Geom. Topol. 14 (2010), 1–81, arXiv:math.AG/0506111.
- [10] A. Vistoli, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces*, Invent. Math. 97 (1989), no. 3, 613–670.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THIRD GENERAL BUILDING, NATIONAL TSING HUA UNIVERSITY, NO. 101 SEC. 2 KUANG FU ROAD, HSINCHU, TAIWAN 30043, TAIWAN

E-mail address: jcchen@math.nthu.edu, jcchenster@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 100 MATH TOWER, 231 WEST 18TH AVE., COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA

E-mail address: hhtseng@math.ohio-state.edu